

Subject: Delivery Status Notification (Failure)

From: Mail Delivery Subsystem

Date: 07/06/2016 04:50 PM

To: duckworth.donald@gmail.com

Delivery to the following recipient failed permanently:

drdenisweintraub@firemog.com

Technical details of permanent failure:

Google tried to deliver your message, but it was rejected by the server for the recipient domain firemog.com by firemog.com. [69.36.180.69].

The error that the other server returned was:

550 5.1.1 <drdenisweintraub@firemog.com>... User unknown

----- Original message -----

DKIM-Signature: v=1; a=rsa-sha256; c=relaxed/relaxed;
d=@gmail.com; s=20120113;
h=mime-version:in-reply-to:references:from:date:message-id:subject:to
:cc;
bh=woCK0/Bilba1fEA8Q4hWBVEbdb2Q0R0DC7BkCzGzgo=;
b=j9WkJ2Qge7PcHI1ZHDfd6HNbuGgLtgDma1rUn8BX/5nLs4q0jg6ownrwsfvGOLT2Xv
UotTjC3NL9OhL2e0l0auSSWiKeICndIkCDF625BVXWzv5TnbGab1QjXOd9PVKhGKFQjC
x83C1tUOCC+Yg+hx5pNxfLXGYyrQc3ps5oHpE2hROGNPsR5BMj0cOylhvBllNopzzOt
p1/vQO4KsHOHCwr1/Es39SqBbXJ11Bh42Jkzv7/efjVGrlHsXMQVtLvioHBOLMZP10w
p1GBTXGnx/SNSh9YqNuLnDPPgK19SrWoi0GB+R/f19ZCpYnTx//A1LOF1rPkEHzs0YPz
puJg==
X-Google-DKIM-Signature: v=1; a=rsa-sha256; c=relaxed/relaxed;
d=1e100.net; s=20130820;
h=x-gm-message-state:mime-version:in-reply-to:references:from:date
:message-id:subject:to:cc;
bh=woCK0/Bilba1fEA8Q4hWBVEbdb2Q0R0DC7BkCzGzgo=;
b=kcVHiOLSeWDMej6KIFIGcu/zj7tvsix1j0mPocL3yAeAPu3q4m4G7p7CEFeWVbhxD3
JwtEsNusKx1JzLeS8w8r1iR16tDly9iW2qUYMBZIFqzQzYFAMa+oz5YiogvIrfPhotYX
uhSSv82vsHU4bQuCdgpuUSR7DkZbGwbss/eUhPQ1r/MJyDMF3tJ0oq7/lusZZgyoCK9r8
5wdukhtmkQWEc8Iy04g9a3REhJgKNVi/u9XG4v59DO+535AjqueuX9zL5yzbzMGAarghu
R7Cpn0RdA5EbMfj43rFfPXSH3WI7gihgzIubuZRA5d2Yirj910+9yhRYyMkziNUr6Az0
0grg==
X-Gm-Message-State: ALyK8tJtUSyJr4sS793Q208HN9vdRJePctsVtP0DRPvseU6sm1iTTHUTuVxd43tsL5Gasb3aFLR27JBTG/eZg==
X-Received: by 10.157.46.147 with SMTP id w19mr265811ota.2.1467849051114;
Wed, 06 Jul 2016 16:50:51 -0700 (PDT)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Received: by 10.157.2.2 with HTTP; Wed, 6 Jul 2016 16:50:50 -0700 (PDT)
In-Reply-To: <06991B68-9799-4339-A5E0-9E86DC7F7FC3@melroseaction.com>
References: <06991B68-9799-4339-A5E0-9E86DC7F7FC3@melroseaction.com>
From: Donald Duckworth <duckworth.donald@gmail.com>
Date: Wed, 6 Jul 2016 16:50:50 -0700
Message-ID: <CAJn0rvhs73RYrb5fWLTF-ByTDei51iwxuweUBGmcibMHyaKrw@mail.gmail.com>
Subject: Re: Graffiti Problem
To: Peter Nichols <peter@melroseaction.com>
Cc: Denis Weintraub <drdenisweintraub@yahoo.com>,
Denis Weintraub <drdenisweintraub@firemog.com>, John Darnell <john.darnell@lacity.org>,
LAPD WILSHIRE PATROL CAPTAIN <25981@lapd.lacity.org>, Inga Wecker <37580@lapd.lacity.org>,
Kim Sudhalter <kim.sudhalter@urbanlegendpr.com>
Content-Type: multipart/related; boundary=001a113cf99246c1580537003dd7

Thanks Peter & Steve...

The Melrose BID quickly removes any graffiti that has come to our attention on public property / right of way, including sidewalks. The BID also reports graffiti on private property, such as that pictured, through MyLA311, which usually responds pretty quickly. We monitor all cleanup to make sure that it is properly completed. Yvette Neal's Pacific Graffiti Solutions is, we believe, the City's approved vendor for Melrose.

We agree that the abuse of Johnny Rockets is a horrendous extreme. We have talked with Commanding Officer Rolando Solano (who, in turn communicated with Captain Sandoval) about our request for increased Patrol on Melrose, particularly in the late night hours, 11 PM to 4 AM. What does such extensive graffiti say about the time gap between patrol cars passing the site? It suggests to me that too little patrol coverage is in place for proper coverage. Assuming that "diminished patrol resources" is going to be a long-term Departmental / Wilshire Division fix, perhaps Captain Sandoval can work with us to bring about the deployment of alternative resources such as "eyes & ears" program resources for our area. Clearly

more needs to be done.

On Wed, Jul 6, 2016 at 2:08 PM, Peter Nichols <peter@melroseaction.com> wrote:

Hello Donald and Denis !

Hope all is well!

Hey am wondering what's the plan (if there is one) from the BID to attack the rise in Graffiti of late. In the past, we (Melrose Action) and a group of neighbors worked with the council office to use discretionary funds to pay for a Graffiti service that got the tagging cleaned up sometimes the same day.

I'm assuming by observation and amount of graffiti up and down Melrose there is no service on contract with the BID or through the council office to combat this.

In the past, years ago in fact - I believe the company we worked with was PGS - Yvette Neal.

I'm certain we all agree in the "broken windows" theory and the

----- Message truncated -----